

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/734,676

Applicant(s)

GUEGLER ET AL.

Examiner

Marjorie A. Moran

Art Unit

1631

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Michael Whitham.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 August 2003

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

2 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Marjorie A. Moran
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

N/A
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the proposed amendment to the claims contains a new step which was not previously recited, was not searched or considered previously, and is therefore a new issue. The examiner stated that the proposed amendments to the specification and abstract would overcome the objections to the specification. Further, the proposed amendments to the claims would overcome the rejections made under 35 USC 112.